

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/822,884 | 04/13/2004 | Yung Yip | 10305US02 | 4010 |
| Kelly P. Fitzge | 7590 02/01/201 erald | 0 | EXAM | IINER |
| Shumaker & Sieffert, P.A. Suite 300 1625 Radio Drive Woodbury, MN 55125 | | | NGUYEN, TANH Q | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2182 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/01/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/822.884 YIP ET AL Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

| | TANH Q. NGUYEN | 2182 | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of _ | ailing or Transmission dated |), which is after the | expiration of the | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does r | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to t | he final rejection. | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See e | | mpt at a proper repl | y, to the non- | | |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-88 | 5). | • | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T | he publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | eriod set in, the No | tice of | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the ass | gnee of the entire in | nterest, or all of | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity ur | der 37 CFR | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere court review of the decision has expired and there are no | | and because the p | eriod for seeking | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| Confirmation of abandonment by the attorney of reco | ord, Eric D. Levinson (RN 35,814 |) on January 22, | 2010. | | |
| | /TANH Q. NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Uni TQN: January 22, 2010 | 2182 | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office